

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/582,246	HAGEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636

All Participants:

(1) Daniel M Sullivan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Susan Pelligrino.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner initiated the interview because the restriction requirement had inadvertently omitted SEQ ID NO: 3 from the list of sequences. Applicant's representative was informed of the omission and provided the opportunity to elect SEQ ID NO: 3 for prosecution. Applicant's representative indicated that search and examination of SEQ ID NO: 4, according to the original response to the restriction requirement, would be acceptable..